

From: sstremc@imap2.asu.edu@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 12:09pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

To Whom It May Concern:

I am NOT SATISFIED with the proposed settlement in the Microsoft antitrust trial. I will simply provide you with a link to an essay written which can describe the problems identified with the proposed settlement much more thoroughly and eloquently than I could, but are in perfect alignment with my own thoughts on the subject matter at hand.

<http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>

As stated in the essay, the problems are as follows:

- "1.The PFJ (proposed final judgment) doesn't take into account Windows-compatible competing operating systems.
2. The PFJ Contains Misleading and Overly Narrow Definitions and Provisions
3. The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Anticompetitive License Terms currently used by Microsoft
4. The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Intentional Incompatibilities Historically Used by Microsoft
5. The PFJ Fails to Prohibit Anticompetitive Practices Towards OEMs"--Dan Kegel

Sincerely,

Steven Stremciuc
19728 N. 79th Ave
Glendale, Az 85308
623.572.8422